

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/849,635	Applicant(s) SHEMTOV, SAMI	
	Examiner AARON DUNWOODY	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

(1) AARON DUNWOODY.

(2) Howard C. Miskin.

Status of Application: _____

(3) David McConoughey, reg. no. 25,786.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

38,51

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative and Examiner agreed to amend and add new claims to overcome the prior art rejections.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)